Sample size and power calculations for open cohort stepped wedge designs

Jessica Kasza Andrew Copas, Andrew Forbes, Richard Hooper

jessica.kasza@monash.edu

Department of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine Monash University



For example:



- Clusters measured repeatedly over time.
- But what about participants within clusters?

Longitudinal CRT Sampling Structures (due to Copas et al.)

Continuous recruitment:

each participant appears in exactly one period.









Continuous recruitment:

each participant appears in exactly one period.





Continuous recruitment:

each participant appears in exactly one period.



Closed cohort: each participant appears in each period. Group A Group A Group A 3 Group A

Open cohort:

participants appear in variable numbers of periods.

Many different ways in which this can happen.

Note: *m* subjects provide continuous outcomes in each cluster in each period.

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{kti} &= \beta_t + \theta X_{kt} + C_k + CP_{kt} + \eta_{ki} + \epsilon_{kti} \\ \eta_{ki} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{\eta}^2), \quad \epsilon_{kti} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2), \quad C_k \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_C^2), \quad CP_{kt} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{CP}^2) \end{aligned}$$

• subject
$$i = 1, \ldots, m$$
; period $t = 1, \ldots, T$; cluster $k = 1, \ldots, K$.

Note: *m* subjects provide continuous outcomes in each cluster in each period.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Y}_{kti} &= \beta_t + \theta \mathbf{X}_{kt} + \mathbf{C}_k + \mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}_{kt} + \eta_{ki} + \epsilon_{kti} \\ \eta_{ki} &\sim \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_{\eta}^2), \quad \epsilon_{kti} \sim \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2), \quad \mathbf{C}_k \sim \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_{C}^2), \quad \mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}_{kt} \sim \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_{CP}^2) \end{aligned}$$

• subject
$$i = 1, \ldots, m$$
; period $t = 1, \ldots, T$; cluster $k = 1, \ldots, K$.

Collapse to cluster-period means:

$$\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}Y_{kti} = \bar{Y}_{kt\bullet} = \beta_t + \theta X_{kt} + C_k + CP_{kt} + \eta_{k\bullet} + \epsilon_{kt\bullet}$$
$$var(\bar{Y}_{kt\bullet}) = \sigma_C^2 + \sigma_{CP}^2 + \frac{\sigma_\eta^2}{m} + \frac{\sigma_\epsilon^2}{m}, \quad cov(\bar{Y}_{kt\bullet}, \bar{Y}_{ks\bullet}) = \sigma_C^2 + \sigma_\eta^2 \frac{n_k(t, s)}{m^2}$$

Note: *m* subjects provide continuous outcomes in each cluster in each period.

$$egin{aligned} & \mathbf{Y}_{kti} = eta_t + heta \mathbf{X}_{kt} + \mathbf{C}_k + \mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}_{kt} + \eta_{ki} + \epsilon_{kti} \ \eta_{ki} \sim \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_{\eta}^2), \quad \epsilon_{kti} \sim \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2), \quad \mathbf{C}_k \sim \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_{C}^2), \quad \mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}_{kt} \sim \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_{CP}^2) \end{aligned}$$

• subject
$$i = 1, \ldots, m$$
; period $t = 1, \ldots, T$; cluster $k = 1, \ldots, K$.

Collapse to cluster-period means:

$$\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}Y_{kti}=\bar{Y}_{kt\bullet}=\beta_t+\theta X_{kt}+C_k+CP_{kt}+\eta_{k\bullet}+\epsilon_{kt\bullet}$$

$$\operatorname{var}\left(\bar{Y}_{kt\bullet}\right) = \sigma_{C}^{2} + \sigma_{CP}^{2} + \frac{\sigma_{\eta}^{2}}{m} + \frac{\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}}{m}, \quad \operatorname{cov}\left(\bar{Y}_{kt\bullet}, \bar{Y}_{ks\bullet}\right) = \sigma_{C}^{2} + \sigma_{\eta}^{2} \frac{n_{k}(t,s)}{m^{2}}$$

 $n_k(t, s)$: the number of subjects in cluster k who appear in both period t and period s.

Churn rate from period *t* to period *s* in cluster *k*:

• the proportion of participants in period t who **do not** appear in period s

$$\chi_k(t,s) = 1 - rac{n_k(t,s)}{m}$$

Churn rate from period *t* to period *s* in cluster *k*:

• the proportion of participants in period t who **do not** appear in period s

$$\chi_k(t,s) = 1 - \frac{n_k(t,s)}{m}$$

- Continuous recruitment: $\chi_k(t, s) = 1$ for all k, t, s;
- Closed cohort: $\chi_k(t, s) = 0$ for all k, t, s.

Churn rate from period *t* to period *s* in cluster *k*:

• the proportion of participants in period t who **do not** appear in period s

$$\chi_k(t,s) = 1 - \frac{n_k(t,s)}{m}$$

- Continuous recruitment: $\chi_k(t, s) = 1$ for all k, t, s;
- Closed cohort: $\chi_k(t, s) = 0$ for all k, t, s.
- Open cohort: $\chi_k(t, s)$ depends on the **precise open cohort sampling scheme**.





Open cohort sampling schemes



Open cohort sampling schemes



Core group: $\chi_k(t, s) = \chi_k \in \{0, \frac{1}{m}, \frac{2}{m}, \dots, \frac{m-1}{m}, 1\}$

Core group: $\chi_k(t, s) = \chi_k \in \{0, \frac{1}{m}, \frac{2}{m}, \dots, \frac{m-1}{m}, 1\}$ Closed population: If population of size *M*, then $E[\chi_k(t, s)] = E[\chi_k] = 1 - \frac{m}{M}$ Core group: $\chi_k(t, s) = \chi_k \in \{0, \frac{1}{m}, \frac{2}{m}, \dots, \frac{m-1}{m}, 1\}$ Closed population: If population of size *M*, then $E[\chi_k(t, s)] = E[\chi_k] = 1 - \frac{m}{M}$ 2-period rotation: $\chi_k(t, s) = \frac{|t-s|}{2}$ for $|t-s| \le 2$ and 1 for |t-s| > 23-period rotation: $\chi_k(t, s) = \frac{|t-s|}{3}$ for $|t-s| \le 3$ and 1 for |t-s| > 3 Core group: $\chi_k(t, s) = \chi_k \in \{0, \frac{1}{m}, \frac{2}{m}, \dots, \frac{m-1}{m}, 1\}$ Closed population: If population of size M, then $E[\chi_k(t, s)] = E[\chi_k] = 1 - \frac{m}{M}$ 2-period rotation: $\chi_k(t, s) = \frac{|t-s|}{2}$ for $|t-s| \le 2$ and 1 for |t-s| > 23-period rotation: $\chi_k(t, s) = \frac{|t-s|}{3}$ for $|t-s| \le 3$ and 1 for |t-s| > 3p-period rotation: $\chi_k(t, s) = \frac{|t-s|}{p}$ for $|t-s| \le p$ and 1 for |t-s| > p

But how can we calculate sample size?

$$\sigma^2 = \sigma_C^2 + \sigma_{CP}^2 + \sigma_{\eta}^2 + \sigma_{\epsilon}^2, \quad \rho = \frac{\sigma_C^2 + \sigma_{CP}^2}{\sigma^2}, \quad \pi = \frac{\sigma_C^2}{\sigma_C^2 + \sigma_{CP}^2}, \quad \tau = \frac{\sigma_{\eta}^2}{\sigma_{\eta}^2 + \sigma_{\epsilon}^2}$$

- σ^2 : the total variance;
- *ρ*: the usual intra-cluster correlation;
- π : the cluster autocorrelation;
- τ is the participant autocorrelation.
- Hooper et al. (2016) provided design effects for repeated cross-sections and closed cohort designs.

$$\sigma^2 = \sigma_C^2 + \sigma_{CP}^2 + \sigma_{\eta}^2 + \sigma_{\epsilon}^2, \quad \rho = \frac{\sigma_C^2 + \sigma_{CP}^2}{\sigma^2}, \quad \pi = \frac{\sigma_C^2}{\sigma_C^2 + \sigma_{CP}^2}, \quad \tau = \frac{\sigma_{\eta}^2}{\sigma_{\eta}^2 + \sigma_{\epsilon}^2}$$

- σ^2 : the total variance;
- *ρ*: the usual intra-cluster correlation;
- π : the cluster autocorrelation;
- τ is the participant autocorrelation.
- Hooper et al. (2016) provided design effects for repeated cross-sections and closed cohort designs.
- Feldman and McKinlay (1994) discussed designs with "random overlap":
 - Their recommendation: vary the participant autocorrelation to account for the overlap of samples.

Required number of clusters:

$$K_L = DE(r) \times [1 + (m-1)\rho] \frac{n_i}{m}$$

- *n_i*: total number of participants required for an individually-randomised trial
- m: number of participants measured in each cluster in each period
- DE(r) depends on the design schematic:

$$DE(r) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{K^2(1-r)[1+(T-1)r]}{KX_{\bullet\bullet} - \sum_{t=1}^{T} (X_{\bullet t})^2 + \left[(X_{\bullet\bullet})^2 + K(T-1)X_{\bullet\bullet} - (T-1)\sum_{t=1}^{T} (X_{\bullet t})^2 - K\sum_{k=1}^{K} (X_{k\bullet})^2 \right]}$$

A design effect for open cohorts ($\chi_k(t, s) = \chi$)

Required number of clusters:

$$\mathcal{K}_L = \mathcal{D}\mathcal{E}(r) imes \left[1 + (m-1)
ho
ight] rac{n_i}{m}$$

- *n_i*: total number of participants required for an individually-randomised trial
- m: number of participants measured in each cluster in each period
- DE(r) depends on the design schematic:

$$DE(r) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{K^2(1-r)[1+(T-1)r]}{KX_{\bullet\bullet} - \sum_{t=1}^{T} (X_{\bullet t})^2 + \left[(X_{\bullet\bullet})^2 + K(T-1)X_{\bullet\bullet} - (T-1)\sum_{t=1}^{T} (X_{\bullet t})^2 - K\sum_{k=1}^{K} (X_{k\bullet})^2 \right]}$$

r is the correlation between two cluster-period means:

$$r = \frac{m\rho\pi + (1-\rho)\tau(1-\chi)}{1+(m-1)\rho}.$$

A design effect for open cohorts ($\chi_k(t, s) = \chi$)

Required number of clusters:

$$\mathcal{K}_L = \mathcal{D} \mathcal{E}(r) imes \left[1 + (m-1)
ho
ight] rac{n_i}{m}$$

- *n_i*: total number of participants required for an individually-randomised trial
- m: number of participants measured in each cluster in each period
- *DE*(*r*) depends on the design schematic:

$$DE(r) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{K^2(1-r)[1+(T-1)r]}{KX_{\bullet\bullet} - \sum_{t=1}^{T} (X_{\bullet t})^2 + \left[(X_{\bullet\bullet})^2 + K(T-1)X_{\bullet\bullet} - (T-1)\sum_{t=1}^{T} (X_{\bullet t})^2 - K\sum_{k=1}^{K} (X_{k\bullet})^2 \right]}$$

r is the correlation between two cluster-period means:

$$r = \frac{m\rho\pi + (1 - \rho)\tau(1 - \chi)}{1 + (m - 1)\rho}$$

Girls on the Go! example



- A stepped wedge trial in Aussie primary schools to evaluate a programme to increase the self-esteem of young women, measured on continuous scale.
- $\rho = 0.33$, $\pi = 0.9$, $\tau = 0.7$, total variance 25, difference in means of interest 2 units.

What if this design had a core group open cohort sampling scheme?

Power with varying core group proportions





- The open cohort design effect unifies the single measurement and closed cohort results.
- Conservative assumption: one measurement per subject.
 - But researchers should account for the actual sampling scheme.
- We considered three types of open cohort sampling schemes but more are available!

Shiny app available:

https://monash-biostat.shinyapps.io/OpenCohort/